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Abstract—The stability analysis of a tethered airfoil system is presented and conditions required for the existence of stable equilibrium points are derived. Two cases are investigated: a case where the tether is assumed to be straight; and a second, more general case, where the tether is assumed to take a catenary geometry. For each case, the relevant equations of motion are derived and simulation results are used to validate the mathematical models. Specifically, for the straight tether case, the analytical conditions for stability are derived and simulated. For the catenary case, simulations were performed to investigate how the equilibrium point moves as operating conditions are varied.

NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( m_k )</td>
<td>Mass of the airfoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_t, m_t )</td>
<td>Length and mass of tether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_c, m_c )</td>
<td>Length and mass of each tether element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_k )</td>
<td>Inclination of airfoil with horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_i )</td>
<td>Inclination of ( i^{th} ) tether element with horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_n, z_n )</td>
<td>( y ) and ( z ) co-ordinates of position of ( n^{th} ) tether element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{\infty,y} )</td>
<td>Free stream air velocity component in ( y ) direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{\infty,z} )</td>
<td>Free stream air velocity component in ( z ) direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{rel,y} )</td>
<td>Relative velocity of wind in ( y ) direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{rel,z} )</td>
<td>Relative velocity of wind in ( z ) direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{rel} )</td>
<td>Free stream air velocity relative to airfoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L, D )</td>
<td>Lift and drag forces acting on the airfoil perpendicular and parallel to the direction of the velocity of the wind relative to the airfoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_c )</td>
<td>Force on airfoil from tether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>Free stream air density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_L, C_D )</td>
<td>Co-efficients of lift and drag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A )</td>
<td>Airfoil area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>Angle of attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{L,0} )</td>
<td>Angle of attack for zero lift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \epsilon )</td>
<td>Span effectiveness factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AR )</td>
<td>Aspect Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b, s )</td>
<td>Wing span and wing area respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_d )</td>
<td>Profile drag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_c, z_c )</td>
<td>Co-ordinates of center of mass of airfoil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_O )</td>
<td>Moment of inertia for straight tether case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( e )</td>
<td>Values at equilibrium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION

Machines used to convert the kinetic energy contained within wind into electrical power are becoming more and more commonplace. Arguably, the most popular type of these machines is the wind turbine which employs large rotating wings to generate electricity. However, a far more esoteric class of machines, consisting of tethered airfoils, used for power generation also exist. Machines like Ockels’ “Laddermill” [1] and tether-airfoil design discussed in [2] have been described in literature. A small-scale test of a tethered airfoil system was described by Canale et al. [3] further validated the theory with experimental data. Work by Loyd [4] found that a kite of comparable size to a large airliner could produce more than 3 MW of power in a 10 m/s wind, a figure similar to that produced by a large wind turbine.

In their earlier work [5], the authors presented a simple model of a two-dimensional tether-airfoil system mounted on a base capable of linear horizontal motion. By oscillating the base in a particular manner and changing the angle of attack synchronously, useful power was generated. The authors also briefly presented an empirical method of determining the stability of the tether-airfoil system. By injecting small perturbations into the system in the form of step changes in wind velocity and initial conditions, the authors were able to show that the system trajectories converged to equilibrium.

In this paper, we investigate the stability problem of the tether-airfoil system. We consider two cases, a straight tether case, and a catenary tether case. The straight tether case is a good approximation of the tether shape for small tether lengths and high wind speed operating conditions where aerodynamic forces acting on the airfoil result in large tension forces in the tether. The catenary case is more general and is valid for lesser wind speeds. We first provide an overview outlining various assumptions and general aerodynamic equations which will allow for the derivation of the equations of motion for the straight tether and catenary geometry. Next, we derive the equations of motion and linearize them about the equilibrium point. Then, we provide the results of several simulations. Finally, we make concluding remarks.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND MODEL DEVELOPMENT

Assumptions

We assume a tether-airfoil system as shown in Fig.1. For modeling the system, we make the following assumptions:

A1. The tether-airfoil system moves entirely within the \( yz\)-plane.
A2. The airfoil is a square, flat plate, and its instantaneous angle of attack \( \alpha \) is sufficiently small that the foil is not in a stalled condition.
A3. The tether is negligibly thin, inextensible, and is not subject to aerodynamic loads.
A4. The velocity of the oncoming wind has time-steady magnitude and direction.
A5. A control system is used to maintain a constant inclination \( \phi_k \) of the airfoil, i.e. \( \phi_k = 0 \).
A6. The tether is connected to a stationary base \( O \).

**Forces on the Airfoil: Lift and Drag Formulation**

A free body diagram of the airfoil is shown in Fig.2. For the sake of conciseness, the net moment on the airfoil due to external forces is not shown since it assumed that \( \phi_k = 0 \) through an active control, assumption A5. The lift and drag forces acting on the airfoil are

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_L A ||\vec{U}_{rel}||^2, \quad D = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D A ||\vec{U}_{rel}||^2
\]  

(1)

where

\[
||\vec{U}_{rel}||^2 = U_{rel,y}^2 + U_{rel,z}^2, \quad \tan \beta = U_{rel,z}/U_{rel,y}
\]

The calculation of \( C_L \) and \( C_D \) are described in detail in [5], which are based on thin airfoil theory discussed in [6], [7]. The lift coefficient is modeled as

\[
C_L(\alpha) = \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} (\alpha - \alpha_{L,0}), \quad \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} = \frac{2\pi}{1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon AR}}, \quad AR = \frac{b^2}{s}
\]  

(2)

where \( \epsilon \) is obtained from experimental data, and with typical values in the range \( 0.8 \leq \epsilon \leq 1 \). The drag coefficients is modeled as

\[
C_D = C_d(\alpha) + \frac{C_L^2(\alpha)}{\pi \epsilon AR}
\]

(3)

**Stability Analysis**

**Location of Static Equilibrium Point**

At static equilibrium, the forces on the tether are shown in Fig.3. From Figs.2 and 3, and using subscript \( e \) to represent equilibrium condition, we have

\[
D_e \cos \beta_e - L_e \sin \beta_e = F_{y,2}
\]

\[
D_e \sin \beta_e + L_e \sin \beta_e - m_k g = F_{z,2}
\]

(4)

(5)

where \( (y_e, z_e) \) is the static equilibrium position of the center of mass of the airfoil. From force balance at equilibrium configuration of the tether, we obtain

\[
F_{y,1} = -F_{y,2}, \quad F_{z,1} = m_t g - F_{z,2}
\]

(6)

At equilibrium, the tether takes the shape of a catenary, with equation

\[
z = a \cosh \left( \frac{y - q}{a} \right) + h
\]

(7)

where \( h, q \) and \( a \) are constant parameters of the catenary. The length of the tether is constant and from Eq.(7)

\[
l_t = \int_0^{y_e} \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{dz}{dy} \right)^2} dy = a \left( \sinh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) - \sinh \left( \frac{-q}{a} \right) \right)
\]

(8)

We also note in Fig.3, that the tension at any point of the catenary is directed tangential to the catenary. Hence,

\[
-\frac{dz}{dy}|_{(0,0)} = \tan \theta_0 = \sinh \left( \frac{-q}{a} \right) = \frac{-F_{z,1}}{F_{y,2}}
\]

\[
\frac{dz}{dy}|_{(y_e, z_e)} = \tan \theta_t = \sinh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) = \frac{F_{z,1}}{F_{y,2}}
\]

(9)

Noting that \( L_e \) and \( D_e \) can be calculated for a steady operating condition and noting that \( \tan \beta_e = U_{\infty,z}/U_{\infty,z} \), the above equations can be solved to calculate the static equilibrium position \( (y_e, z_e) \).
The formulations of the lift force respectively, where In order to investigate the stability of the static equilibrium, we apply this assumption to derive simple analytical conditions for stability. The assumption also reduces the tether-airfoil to a 1DOF system. For straight tether, \( y_c = l_t \cos \theta \) and \( z_c = l_t \sin \theta \), and the equation of motion in \( \theta \) can be written as

\[
I_O \ddot{\theta} = l_t L \cos(\theta - \beta) - l_t D \sin(\theta - \beta) - \left( m_k + \frac{m_t}{2} \right) gl_t \cos \theta, \quad I_O = \left( \frac{1}{3} m_t + m_k \right) l_t^2
\]

The formulations of the lift force \( L \) and drag force \( D \) are

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_L A \left[ 2U^2 + 2U_\infty l_t \dot{\theta}(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) + l_t^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \right]
\]

\[
D = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D A \left[ 2U^2 + 2U_\infty l_t \dot{\theta}(\sin \theta - \cos \theta) + l_t^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \right]
\]

respectively, where \( \beta \) is

\[
\beta = \arctan \left( \frac{U_{\infty,z} - \dot{z}}{U_{\infty,y} - \dot{y}} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{U_{\infty,z} - l_t \dot{\theta} \cos \theta}{U_{\infty,y} + l_t \dot{\theta} \sin \theta} \right)
\]

In order to investigate the stability of the static equilibrium, we linearize Eq.(11) about the equilibrium angle \( \theta_e \)

\[
I_O \ddot{\delta} = f(\theta_e, 0) + \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right]_{\theta_e,0} \delta + \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \right]_{\theta_e,0} \dot{\delta}
\]

where \( \delta = \theta - \theta_e \), and \( f(\theta_e, \dot{\theta}_e) \) is the right-hand side of Eq.(11). Carrying out the derivatives and grouping like terms yields the linearized equation of motion

\[
I_O \ddot{\delta} + c \dot{\delta} + k \delta = 0
\]

where

\[
c = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho Al_t^2 C_D U_\infty \left( 1 + \sin^2(\theta_e - \beta_e) \right) \right]
\]

\[
k = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \rho Al_t^2 C_L U_\infty \cos(\theta_e - \beta_e) \sin(\theta_e - \beta_e) \right]
\]

For the above second order linear system, the necessary and sufficient conditions for stable equilibrium at \( \theta = \theta_e \) are

\[I_O > 0, \ c > 0, \text{ and } k > 0\]

The inertia \( I_O \) is always positive, so the inequality conditions for the coefficients \( c \) and \( k \) determine stability. Evaluating the inequality condition for \( c \) yields

\[
\frac{C_D}{C_L} > \frac{\cos(\theta_e - \beta_e) \sin(\theta_e - \beta_e)}{1 + \sin^2(\theta_e - \beta_e)}
\]

Similarly, evaluating the inequality condition for \( k \) yields

\[
C_D \cos(\theta_e - \beta_e) - C_L \sin(\theta_e - \beta_e) > \frac{2m_k + m_t}{\rho Al_{\infty}^2} \sin \theta_e\]

**Stability Analysis with Catenary Tether**

In this section, we will relax the straight tether assumption and instead consider the tether to retain the catenary shape for small perturbations about the equilibrium. This amounts to using a statics based model for the catenary while studying the dynamic behavior of the tether-airfoil system close to equilibrium. Now we have a 2DOF system since \( y_c \) and \( z_c \) can change independently as long as the tether length \( l_t \) remains unchanged. The equations of motion are

\[
m_k \ddot{y}_c = D \cos \beta - l_t \sin \beta - F_{e,y}
\]

\[
m_k \ddot{z}_c = D \sin \beta + l_t \cos \beta - F_{e,z} - m_k g
\]

We linearize the equations of motion about the equilibrium point \( (y_c, z_c) \)

\[
m_k \delta \dot{y} = \frac{\partial D \cos \beta}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta \dot{y} + \frac{\partial D \sin \beta}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta \dot{z} - \frac{\partial L \sin \beta}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta \dot{y} \]

\[
- \frac{\partial L \sin \beta}{\partial \dot{z}} \delta \dot{z} - \frac{\partial F_{e,y}}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta y - \frac{\partial F_{e,z}}{\partial \dot{z}} \delta z
\]

and

\[
m_k \delta \dot{z} = \frac{\partial D \sin \beta}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta \dot{y} + \frac{\partial D \sin \beta}{\partial \dot{z}} \delta \dot{z} + \frac{\partial L \cos \beta}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta \dot{y} \]

\[
+ \frac{\partial L \cos \beta}{\partial \dot{z}} \delta \dot{z} - \frac{\partial F_{e,y}}{\partial \dot{y}} \delta y - \frac{\partial F_{e,z}}{\partial \dot{z}} \delta z
\]

where \( \delta y = y - y_e \) and \( \delta z = z - z_e \) and \( |e \) represents calculation at the equilibrium. Carrying out the derivatives for the aerodynamic forces in Eq.(22) using Eq.(13), and
noting in Fig.2 that $\alpha - \beta + \phi_k = \frac{\pi}{2}$ yields

$$m_k \ddot{y} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A \left[ C_L U_{\infty,z} \cos \beta_e - C_D \left( \frac{U_{\infty,y}^2 + U_{\infty}^2}{U_{\infty}} \right) \right] + U_{\infty,z} \left( \cos \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} \right) - \sin \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} \right) \right) \dot{y} \cdots$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \rho A \left[ C_L \left( \frac{U_{\infty,y}^2 + U_{\infty}^2}{U_{\infty}} \right) - C_D U_{\infty,z} \cos \beta_e \right] \cdots$$

$$+ U_{\infty,y} \left( - \cos \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) + \sin \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) \right) \dot{z} \cdots$$

$$- \partial F_{e,z} \frac{\delta y}{\partial y} \delta y - \partial F_{e,z} \frac{\delta z}{\partial z} \delta z \tag{24}$$

Performing the same steps on Eq.(23) yields

$$m_k \ddot{z} = - \frac{1}{2} \rho A \left[ C_L \left( \frac{U_{\infty,y}^2 + U_{\infty}^2}{U_{\infty}} \right) + C_D U_{\infty,z} \cos \beta_e \right] \cdots$$

$$+ U_{\infty,y} \left( \sin \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) + \cos \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) \right) \dot{y} \cdots$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \rho A \left[ C_L U_{\infty,z} \cos \beta_e + C_D \left( \frac{U_{\infty,y}^2 + U_{\infty}^2}{U_{\infty}} \right) \right] \cdots$$

$$- U_{\infty,y} \left( \sin \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) + \cos \beta_e \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \right) \right) \dot{z} \cdots$$

$$- \partial F_{e,z} \frac{\delta y}{\partial y} \delta y - \partial F_{e,z} \frac{\delta z}{\partial z} \delta z \tag{25}$$

where, in Eqs.(24) and (25)

$$\left. \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} \right|_e = 2 \pi e A R \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} \left|_e \right. = \left( \frac{dC_D}{d\alpha} + 2 \frac{C_L}{\pi e A R} \frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} \right) \right|_e \tag{26}$$

are obtained from Eqs.(2) and (3). To solve the spatial derivatives of $F_{e,y}$ and $F_{e,z}$, we proceed as follows. We first note from Eq.(7) that

$$\cosh \left( \frac{q}{a} \right) = - \frac{h}{a}, \quad z_e = \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) + h \tag{27}$$

Upon differentiation and rearranging Eqs.(27) and (8),

$$A \begin{bmatrix} \frac{da}{dq} \\ \frac{dq}{dh} \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta y}{\delta \gamma} \\ \frac{\delta z}{\delta \gamma} \end{bmatrix} \tag{28}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 2}$. The entries for $A$ are

$$a_{11} = - \frac{1}{a} \left( y_e - q \right), \quad a_{12} = a \sinh \left( \frac{q}{a} \right), \quad a_{21} = 0$$

$$a_{22} = \sinh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) - \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right)$$

$$a_{31} = \frac{1}{a} \left( q - a \right) \cosh \left( \frac{q}{a} \right) - \frac{y_e - q}{a} \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right)$$

$$a_{32} = \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) - \frac{q - a}{a} \cosh \left( \frac{q}{a} \right)$$

$$a_{13} = a, \quad a_{23} = -1, \quad a_{33} = 0$$

and the entries for $B$ are

$$b_{11} = 0, \quad b_{12} = 0, \quad b_{21} = \sinh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right), \quad b_{22} = -1$$

$$b_{31} = - \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right), \quad b_{32} = 0 \tag{30}$$

From Eqs.(6) and (9) we have

$$dF_{z,1} = - dF_{z,2}, \quad dF_{y,1} = - dF_{y,2} \tag{31}$$

Now, for a small change in the catenary, the corresponding change in slope and tension forces at $(0,0)$ can be related as

$$d \left( \frac{dz}{dy} \right)_{(0,0)} = \frac{F_{z,1} + dF_{z,1}}{F_{y,1} + dF_{y,1}} \tag{32}$$

which upon simplification yields

$$\frac{F_{z,1} + dF_{z,1}}{F_{y,1} + dF_{y,1}} = \frac{F_{z,1}}{F_{y,1}} - \frac{1}{a} \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{da}{dq} \\ \frac{dq}{dh} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{F_{z,1}}{F_{y,1}} - \mu a A^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \delta y \\ \delta z \end{bmatrix} \tag{33}$$

Equation (33) can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{F_{z,1}}{F_{y,1}} \\ \frac{F_{y,1}}{F_{z,1}} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} dF_{y,2} \\ dF_{z,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta y \\ \delta z \end{bmatrix} \tag{34}$$

Taking a similar approach for $(y_e, z_e)$ yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{F_{z,2}}{F_{y,2}} \\ \frac{F_{y,2}}{F_{z,2}} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} dF_{y,2} \\ dF_{z,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u - \nu & \nu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta y \\ \delta z \end{bmatrix} \tag{35}$$

where,

$$\nu = \frac{1}{a} \cosh \left( \frac{y_e - q}{a} \right), \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y_e - q}{a} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{36}$$

and $u$ and $v$ are defined as

$$\nu A^{-1} B \begin{bmatrix} \delta y \\ \delta z \end{bmatrix} = u \delta y + v \delta z \tag{37}$$

Combining Eqs.(34) and (35) we get

$$\left[ \partial F_{e,y} \partial F_{e,z} \right]^T = \left[ \partial F_{y,2} \partial F_{z,2} \right]^T = Q^{-1} U \left[ \partial \gamma \partial \gamma \right]^T \tag{38}$$

where

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} F_{z,1} \\ -F_{z,2} \\ -F_{y,1} \\ F_{y,2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} -p \frac{F_{y,2}^2}{F_{y,2}^2} - q \frac{F_{y,2}^2}{F_{y,2}^2} \\ -(u - \nu) \frac{F_{y,2}^2}{F_{y,2}^2} - v \frac{F_{y,2}^2}{F_{y,2}^2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{39}$$

When assembled as a matrix equation, Eqs.(24) and (25) take the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_k & 0 \\ 0 & m_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \gamma \\ \delta \gamma \end{bmatrix} + C \begin{bmatrix} \delta \gamma \\ \delta \gamma \end{bmatrix} + K \begin{bmatrix} \delta \gamma \\ \delta \gamma \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{40}$$
The matrix $C$ consists solely of terms from aerodynamic forces, and $K$ consists of terms related to the tension in the tether. For stability, the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & I_{2 \times 2} \\
-M^{-1}K & -M^{-1}C
\end{bmatrix}
$$

must have negative real parts. Solving for the eigenvalues of Eq.(41) analytically is tedious and hence we resort to numerical computations to generate a root-locus, shown in the next section.

### Simulations and Observations

Before presenting the simulation results, the specific formulae for $C_L$ and $C_D$ developed for this model are given. We assume a square shaped wing span with one of the corners facing the head wind. For a square of side $a$, from Eq.(2) $AR = (\sqrt{2}a)^2/a^2 = 2$. The parameter $\epsilon$ is taken as 0.8 and $\alpha_{L,0}$ as -0.035rad ($\approx 2^\circ$) based on experimental data from various NACA airfoils presented in [6], [7], [5]. This yields

$$
C_L = 2.793(\alpha + 0.035)
$$

The profile drag $C_d$ was obtained from [8], based on the range of Reynolds numbers expected for wind speeds varying from 10 m/s to 30 m/s. The resulting drag coefficient is

$$
C_D = 0.19430a^2 + 0.00625 + 0.199C_L^2
$$

### Stability Conditions: Straight Tether Assumption

Table 1 shows the parameters used in simulating the airfoil’s operation. Our preliminary estimates of the total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_t$</td>
<td>100 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_e$</td>
<td>0.5 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_k$</td>
<td>2 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>2 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_D$</td>
<td>2.5 kg · m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1.3 kg · m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{y,i}$</td>
<td>15 m · s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{y,f}$</td>
<td>20 m · s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n+1$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tether drag, assuming it to be a vertical cylinder, is $\leq 5N$ even with a conservative estimate of the tether diameter (assuming a tether made of Kevlar). Hence, we assert that the negligible tether drag assumption is plausible, [8]. Using the same numerical as in [5], a simulation is run for 300 seconds with the step wind change from $U_{y,i}$ to $U_{y,f}$ occurring at 200 seconds. The results of the simulation are shown in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the average tether angle $\phi_i$ ranges from about 1.34 radians before the step change to about 1.45 radians after the step change. The tight bands of $\phi_i$ values indicate a high level of tension within the tether. Referring to Eq.(18) and Fig. 6(e), there are two values of $\theta_e$ to consider, namely $\theta_e = \tan^{-1}(97.3/22.9) = 1.3399$ rads, and $\theta_e = \tan^{-1}(99.2/12.5) = 1.4455$ rads.

The calculated ratio and the simulated ratio of $C_D$ to $C_L$ are both shown in Fig.7(a). The calculated ratio is found using Eq.(18) and the values for $\theta_e$ mentioned above. The value of $\theta_e$ is assumed to change instantaneously with the step wind change. The simulated ratio is found using the simulated values of $C_D$ and $C_L$ at each time step. As shown in Fig.7(a), for the first 200 seconds of simulation, the first stability criterion defined by Eq.(18) is violated, yet the equilibrium is stable. However, once the wind speed is increased, the stability criterion is satisfied. The second stability criterion comes from Eq.(19) and is represented graphically in Fig.7(b). In this case, the second stability criterion is satisfied at all times. The above results show the validity of the straight tether assumption at higher wind speeds.

### Stability Conditions: Catenary

As mentioned earlier, the catenary model represents a more accurate geometric configuration of the tether under steady state operating conditions. Fig. 8 graphically illus-
from one with positive altitude to one with negative altitude. Recall that solving for the eigenvalues of Eq.(41) will provide insight to the stability of a given equilibrium point. We next generate the root locus plot where, in Fig.9(a) the wind speed $U_y$ is held constant and the airfoil mass $m_k$ is varied over a range. In Fig.9(b), the airfoil mass $m_k$ was chosen to be 2kg, and the wind speed $U_y$ was varied. All of the eigenvalues shown in Fig. 9 have negative real parts, thus indicating that the static equilibrium points are stable.

**CONCLUSION**

A stability analysis for a tether-airfoil has been presented, and conditions for the existence of stable equilibria have been investigated. For a straight tether, an assumption that would be valid for high wind speeds, analytical conditions for stability were derived and confirmed through simulations. Since the tether length and weight can be substantial, the tether model was refined to that of a catenary. A statics based model of the catenary was augmented to the dynamic model of the airfoil, to perform a more realistic study of stability. For the latter case, stability of equilibrium points for varying wind speed and varying airfoil mass were verified numerically. Future work will include analyzing stability when the tether-airfoil system is used for harnessing wind energy. Also, a linearization approach, which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for stability, cannot be used to detect periodic orbits, such as crosswind flight. Future work will investigate the nonlinear behavior of the system.
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